

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

| | | |
|---|---|---------------------|
| KATHRYN GAY <i>Plaintiff – Pro se</i> | : | CIVIL ACTION |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| v. | : | NO. 18-2880 |
| | : | |
| THE CHILDREN’S HOSPITAL OF PHILADELPHIA, et al. <i>Defendants</i> | : | |
| | : | |
| | : | |

ORDER

AND NOW, this 14th day of May 2021, upon consideration of Defendants’ *motion in limine to strike certain equitable relief sought by Plaintiff*, [ECF 98], and Plaintiff’s response thereto, [ECF 107], it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Defendants’ motion is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, Plaintiff precluded from seeking, discussing during her case-in- chief, mentioning within the presence of the jury, or otherwise requesting in any capacity relief in the following forms set forth in Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint, [ECF 6]; *to wit*:

[1] That Plaintiff undergo a domestic violence evaluation by Plaintiff’s chosen expert to be financed by the defendants;

[2] That CHOP submits to a case-file review of K’s hospitalization by Plaintiff’s chosen panel of experts, including an expert in child psychology, a child psychiatrist, a domestic violence expert, a critical care pediatrician and a legal expert in child welfare with a written report and recommendation for best practices to be issued and published; all to be financed by Defendants;

[3] From the clinicians who treated a disease but not the patient, that Defendants acknowledge they should have (a) asked K about her history and listened to her, (b) honored Plaintiff’s request for information about her child, (c) honored Plaintiff’s request for a psychological evaluation as per DIIS and (d) honored Plaintiff’s request for a different social worker, and the opportunity to speak with the supervisor.¹

¹ In her response, Plaintiff indicated that she withdraws the relief sought in paragraphs 3 through 6.

[4] From Poncz, that he be introduced to Plaintiff.

[5] From Cohen that he explain to Plaintiff why, after all he learned about K's history and treatment at CHOP, he never bothered to check in with the patient or Plaintiff; and

[6] That a simple "I'm sorry" would suffice from Lantzouni, Louis-Jacques, Jackson, Zucker, Hoeveler, Poncz and Cohen.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Nitza I. Quiñones Alejandro

NITZA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO

Judge, United States District Court